

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

1i

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/703,038	10/31/2000	Tony M. Brewer	59182-P004US-10020641	8896
29053	7590 11/03/2005		EXAMINER	
DALLAS OFFICE OF FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. 2200 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 2800 DALLAS, TX 75201-2784			SAM, PHIRIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2661	
			D. TT. M. W. TD. 11/00 000	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/703,038	BREWER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phirin Sam	2661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	ıne 2005.					
	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 63-125 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 63-87 and 112-125 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 88,94-96,101-106,108,109 and 111 is 7) ☐ Claim(s) 89-93,97-100,107 and 110 is/are object to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.  s/are rejected.  ected to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2000 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
$\mathcal{L}_{11}$						
Attachment(s) PHIRIN SAM						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  PRIMARY EXA	MINER 4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-192)				

Application/Control Number: 09/703,038

Art Unit: 2661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 88, 94-96, 101-106, 108, 109, and 111 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,335,992 (hereinafter referred as "Bala") in view of US Patent 5,583,859 (hereinafter referred as "Feldmeier").

Bala discloses the invention (claims 88 and 101) as claimed including an Internet Protocol (IP) packet router system, the system comprising:

(a) an IP packet router, including;

Application/Control Number: 09/703,038

Art Unit: 2661

(a1) an optical switch fabric through which the chunk passes (see Fig. 5C, element 120, col. 11, lines 19-22).

a first electrical switch stage at an input side (see Fig. 5C, element 110, col. 11, lines 19-22, 29-32) of the optical switch fabric (see Fig. 5C, element 120, col. 11, lines 19-22, 35-37) and a second electrical switch stage (see Fig. 5C, element 130, col. 11, lines 19-22, 37-38, 61-67) at an output side of the switch fabric (see Fig. 5C, element 120, col. 11, lines 19-22, 35-37).

Bala discloses the incoming the electrical signals (chunk) applied to the first stage switches 110. On the other hand, Bala does not disclose chunk having a payload comprising a plurality of data packets and a framing symbol. However, Feldmeier discloses chunk having a payload comprising a plurality of data packets and a framing symbol (see Figs. 5-8, col. 5, lines 31-67, col. 6, lines 1-41). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine chunk having a payload comprising the plurality of data packets teaching by Feldmeier with Bala. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide the ability to process data without intermediate buffering for reordering or reassembly improves protocol processing performance read on abstract. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Feldmeier and Bala to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 88.

Regarding claim 94, Bala does not disclose each chunk is further formatted to include forward error correction (FEC) code. However, Feldmeier discloses forward error correction code (see Fig. 8, col. 8, lines 38-62). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the FEC code teaching by Feldmeier with Bala. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide for improving the performance of a

Art Unit: 2661

communication network by immediate packet processing, dividing PDU's among multiple packets, and capability of processing misorder data read on column 2, lines 52-57. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Feldmeier and Bala to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 94.

Regarding claim 95, Bala does not disclose FEC code is located adjacent to and following the frame symbol (see Fig. 8, col. 8, lines 38-62). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine FEC code is located adjacent to and following the frame symbol teaching by Feldmeier with Bala. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide for improving the performance of a communication network by immediate packet processing, dividing PDU's among multiple packets, and capability of processing misorder data read on column 2, lines 52-57. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Feldmeier and Bala to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 95.

Regarding claim 96, Bala does not disclose each chunk is formatted to include a preamble, containing information configured to allow alignment of router clock and data recovery circuitry. However, Feldmeier discloses each chunk is formatted to include the preamble, containing information configured to allow alignment of router clock and data recovery circuitry (see Fig. 6, col. 6, lines 46-54). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine preamble containing information for alignment clock and data recovery teaching by Feldmeier with Bala. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide for improving the performance of a communication network by immediate packet processing, dividing PDU's among multiple packets, and

Application/Control Number: 09/703,038

Art Unit: 2661

capability of processing misorder data read on column 2, lines 52-57. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Feldmeier and Bala to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 96.

Regarding claim 102, Bala does not disclose the chunk header includes identification of chunk type. However, Feldmeier discloses the chunk header includes identification of chunk type (see Fig. 5C, col. 4, lines 52-65). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine identification of chunk type teaching by Feldmeier with Bala. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide for improving the performance of a communication network by immediate packet processing, dividing PDU's among multiple packets, and capability of processing misorder data read on column 2, lines 52-57. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Feldmeier and Bala to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 102.

Regarding claim 103, Bala disclose the optical switch fabric is partitioned into a plurality of working sub-planes (see Fig. 5C, element 120, col. 11, lines 9-12, 21-22)

Regarding claim 104, Bala does not disclose chunk header includes identification of a specific routing sub-plane through the switch fabric. However, Feldmeier discloses chunk header includes identification of the specific routing sub-plane through the switch fabric (see Fig. 5, col. 4, lines 65-67, and col. 5, lines 1-5). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine identification of the specific routing sub-plane through the switch fabric teaching by Feldmeier with Bala. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide for improving the performance of a communication network by immediate packet processing, dividing PDU's among multiple packets, and capability of processing

Art Unit: 2661

misorder data read on column 2, lines 52-57. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Feldmeier and Bala to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 104.

Regarding claim 105, Bala does not disclose the chunk header includes a header parity. However, Feldmeier discloses the chunk header including the header parity (see Fig. 5, col. 8, lines 59-67). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the header parity teaching by Feldmeier with Bala. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide for improving the performance of a communication network by immediate packet processing, dividing PDU's among multiple packets, and capability of processing misorder data read on column 2, lines 52-57. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Feldmeier and Bala to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 105.

Regarding claim 106, Bala does not disclose the chunk header includes identification of an input of the optical switch fabric and an output of the optical switch fabric for the chunk. However, Feldmeier discloses the chunk header includes identification of the input of the optical switch fabric and the output of the optical switch fabric for the chunk (see Fig. 5, col. 5, lines 31-47, wherein the ID can represent the source ID or destination ID). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the ID of the input and output of the optical switch fabric teaching by Feldmeier with Bala. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide for improving the performance of a communication network by immediate packet processing, dividing PDU's among multiple packets, and capability of processing misorder data read on column 2, lines 52-57. Therefore, it would have

Art Unit: 2661

been obvious to combine Feldmeier and Bala to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 106.

Regarding claim 108, Bala does not disclose the chunk header includes a sequence number. However, Feldmeier discloses the chunk header including the sequence number (see Fig. 5, col. 5, lines 59-63). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the sequence number teaching by Feldmeier with Bala. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide for improving the performance of a communication network by immediate packet processing, dividing PDU's among multiple packets, and capability of processing misorder data read on column 2, lines 52-57. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Feldmeier and Bala to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 108.

Regarding claim 109, Bala does not disclose the payload of at least one chunk further comprises at least one packet segment and an associated packet header. However, Feldmeier discloses at least one packet segment and the associated packet header (see Fig. 5C, col. 5, lines 14-20, 39-41). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine at least one packet segment and the associated packet header teaching by Feldmeier with Bala. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide for improving the performance of a communication network by immediate packet processing, dividing PDU's among multiple packets, and capability of processing misorder data read on column 2, lines 52-57. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Feldmeier and Bala to obtain the invention as specified in the claim 109.

Application/Control Number: 09/703,038 Page 8

Art Unit: 2661

Regarding claim 111, Bala discloses the switch fabric comprises a plurality of optical switch planes (see Fig. 5C, col. 120, col. 11, lines 9-12, 21-22). Wherein the middle stage switches 120 (optical switch planes) formed by an optical switch fabric.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 89-93, 97-100, 107, and 110 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 63-87 and 112-125 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 94, 96, 101-106, 108, 109, and 111 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phirin Sam whose telephone number is (571) 272-3082. The examiner can normally be reached on a compress schedule, from 8:00-5:30, first Wed off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272 - 3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/703,038 Page 9

Art Unit: 2661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 31, 2005

PHIRIN SAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER